

AuditReport

Audit Report Created Utilizing PrimusLabs.com Software.

Please Note: This Is Not A PrimusLabs.com Verified/Certified Audit Report.

Version 1.0 Dec 22, 2009

**Audited By Glades Crop Care, Inc.. (114294)
Harvest Crew v07.04**

| | |
|---|---|
| Harvest Company(s) | Astin Farm 3 Harvest Crew Contact: Shawn Pollard Address: 107 Holloway Road, Plant City Florida 33563 United States Phone Number: (813) 650 8448 Fax Number: (813) 754 5702 Email(s): shawn@strawberryexchange.com |
| Customer(s) | Astin Strawberry Exchange Contact: Sam Astin Address: 107 Holloway Road, P.O. Box 3837 Plant City Florida 33563 United States Phone Number: (813) 650 8448 Fax Number: (813) 754 5702 Email(s): shawn@strawberryexchange.com ; jrpierce@strawberryexchange.com |
| Grower(s) | Astin Strawberry Exchange Contact: Sam Astin Address: 107 Holloway Road, P.O. Box 3837 Plant City Florida 33563 United States Phone Number: (813) 650 8448 Fax Number: (813) 754 5702 Email(s): shawn@strawberryexchange.com |
| Ranch(s) | Farm 3 Contact: Sam Astin Address: 107 Holloway Road, P.O. Box 3837 Plant City Florida 33563 United States Phone Number: (813) 650 8448 Fax Number: (813) 754 5702 Email(s): shawn@strawberryexchange.com |
| Audit Type: | Harvest Crew |
| Foreman or Contact Person (and email): | J. R. Pierce; jrpierce@strawberryexchange.com |
| Crew Name Or Number: | Astin Farm 3 Harvest Crew |
| Location/City: | Plant City |
| State: | Florida |
| Country: | United States |
| Date Audit Started: | 12/10/2009 10:30 |
| Date Audit Finished: | 12/10/2009 11:00 |
| Commodity(ies): | Strawberries |
| Auditor: | Steven Hoak (Affiliated Auditors, Glades Crop Care – Affiliated) |

Audit Report

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Audit Percentage Score: | 96% |
| Scoring Category: | 95–100% Superior |
| Announced Audit? | Yes |
| Audit Scope: | The harvest crew, including the growing/harvesting area, sanitary facilities, and general hygiene of workers, was inspected along with the associated food safety file system. |

Additional Report Links

[See Severity Answers & Recommendations](#)

[See The Result Comparison](#)

[See The Audited Entity History](#)

Corrective Actions Response

Note: This link must be used in order to notify that you are taking action in the corrective measures for questions that were not in full compliance.

Sections:

[Inspection](#)

[Employee Activities & Sanitary Facilities](#)

[Harvest Practices](#)

[Transportation and Tracking](#)

[On Site Storage](#)

[Food Security](#)

Inspection

| Question No. | Audit Question | Given Answer | Given Score | Possible Score | Recommendation | Auditor Comments | Audio/ Picture |
|--------------|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---|----------------|
| 01.01 | Have self-audits been completed for each harvest crew? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, self-audits have been completed for each harvest crew. | |
| 01.02 | Was a pre-harvest inspection performed on the block being harvested and was the block cleared for harvest? If NO, go to 1.3. | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, pre-harvest inspections were done and the block was cleared for harvest. | |
| 01.02a | Where pre-harvest inspections have discovered issues, have buffer zones been clearly identified and at the time of the audit, are these buffer zones being respected? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. Pre-harvest food safety issues were not discovered during inspections. | |
| 01.03 | Are there records of daily pre-operation inspections that check key aspects of equipment hygiene, personal hygiene, etc.? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. | |
| 01.04 | Is there a documented and implemented policy that when commodities are dropped on the ground they are discarded? (Non-applicable for commodities such as tubers, root crops, etc.) | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. | |
| 01.05 | Is there a food safety hygiene training program covering new and existing employees and are records of these training events? | Yes | 15 | 15 | | Yes. | |
| 01.06 | Is there a documented and implemented policy stating what happens when harvesters find evidence of animal intrusion e.g. fecal | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. | |

AuditReport

material?

| Employee Activities & Sanitary Facilities | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---|----------------|
| Question No. | Audit Question | Given Answer | Given Score | Possible Score | Recommendation | Auditor Comments | Audio/ Picture |
| 02.01 | Are there any employees observed with improperly covered open wounds? | No | 5 | 5 | | No. There are no employees with open wounds. | |
| 02.02 | Are any employees eating and drinking (other than water) in active harvest areas, areas yet to be harvested, near harvested product or storage areas? | No | 5 | 5 | | No. Employees are not eating or drinking in harvest areas. | |
| 02.03 | Are any employees using tobacco products in active harvest areas, areas yet to be harvested, near harvested product or storage areas? | No | 5 | 5 | | No. Employees are not using tobacco products in harvest areas. | |
| 02.04 | Is it evident the clothing harvesters are wearing is not posing a cross contamination risks? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, the harvesters clothing does not pose a cross contamination risk. | |
| 02.05 | Is it evident that employees are free of exposed jewelry (that may pose a foreign contamination issue) except for a single plain ring? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes employees are free of exposed jewelry. | |
| 02.06 | Where gloves are required by the auditee, are they appropriate for the type of harvesting (i.e., not using cotton gloves for harvesting a product such as lettuce) and are they in good working order? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. The auditee does not require gloves. | |
| 02.06a | Where gloves are used, are they latex-free? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. The auditee does not require gloves. | |
| 02.07 | If any protective clothing is required by the auditee (e.g., gloves, aprons, sleeves) are they removed prior to using restrooms, going on breaks, etc? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. The auditee does not require protective clothing. | |
| 02.07a | Are secondary hand sanitation stations (e.g., hand dip, gel or spray stations) adequate in number and location? Are the stations maintained properly? NOTE: Secondary hand sanitation does not replace hand washing requirements (lack surfactant qualities). | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, secondary hand sanitation stations are adequate in number and location. | |
| 02.08 | Are there operational toilet facilities provided? If this question is answered No, the audit will result in an automatic failure. If No, go to 2.9 | Yes | 20 | 20 | | Yes, operational toilets are provided. | |
| 02.08a | Are toilet facilities located in a suitable area and within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all employees? | Yes | 10 | 10 | | Yes. | |
| 02.08b | Are toilet facilities in a suitable location to prevent contamination to product, packaging, equipment, and growing areas? | Yes | 15 | 15 | | Yes. | |
| 02.08c | Are separate toilet facilities provided for men and women in groups larger than 5 employees? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. | |
| 02.08d | Is a minimum of one toilet facility provided for each group of 20 employees? | Yes | 10 | 10 | | Yes. | |
| 02.08e | Do toilet facilities have visuals or signs, written in the appropriate languages, reminding employees to wash their hands | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, the toilet facilities have signs in appropriate languages reminding | |

AuditReport

| | | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|----|----|---|
| | before returning to work? | | | | employees to wash their hands before returning to work. |
| 02.08f | Are toilets supplied with toilet paper and is the toilet paper maintained properly (e.g. toilet paper rolls not stored on the floor or in the urinals)? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.08g | Are the toilets maintained in a clean condition? | Yes | 10 | 10 | Yes. |
| 02.08h | Are toilets constructed of materials that are easy to clean? | Yes | 2 | 2 | Yes. |
| 02.08i | Are the toilet's construction materials of a light color allowing easy evaluation of cleaning performance? | Yes | 2 | 2 | Yes. |
| 02.08j | Is there a documented and implemented policy that if portable toilets are used, waste is disposed of properly and the units are cleaned in an appropriate location? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.08k | Are there toilet cleaning records and for portable toilets, are there servicing records? | Yes | 2 | 2 | Yes, toilet cleaning and servicing records are up to date. |
| 02.08l | If used, are catch basins of the toilets designed and maintained to prevent contamination (e.g. free from leaks and cracks)? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.08m | Are the toilet catch basins emptied properly? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A Score not affected. Toilet catch basins were not being emptied at the time of audit. |
| 02.09 | Is there evidence of human fecal contamination in the growing area? If this Yes, an automatic failure of the audit will occur. | No | 20 | 20 | No. There is no evidence of human fecal contamination in the growing area. |
| 02.10 | Are operational hand washing facilities provided? If No, go to 2.11, If this question is answered No, an automatic failure of the audit will occur. | Yes | 20 | 20 | Yes. |
| 02.10a | Are the hand washing facilities placed within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all employees? | Yes | 15 | 15 | Yes. |
| 02.10b | Are hand wash stations clearly visible (e.g. situated outside the toilet facility) and easily accessible to workers? | Yes | 2 | 2 | Yes. |
| 02.10c | In the event of running out of toilet materials (e.g., water, soap, toilet tissue, hand paper towels) are there extra supplies readily available so that toilets can be restocked quickly? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.10d | Is soap made available at all hand washing facilities? If No, go to 2.10f. | Yes | 10 | 10 | Yes. |
| 02.10e | Is non-perfumed soap available? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.10f | Are single-use towels available at all hand washing facilities and trash cans for them? | Yes | 10 | 10 | Yes. |
| 02.10g | Are the hand wash stations designed and maintained properly (e.g. ability to capture or control rinse water to prevent contamination onto product, packaging, and growing area, free of clogged drains, etc)? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes, hand wash stations capture the rinse water. |

AuditReport

| | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----|----|----|--|
| 02.10h | Are the employees washing their hands prior to beginning work? Score NA if this discipline is not observed at the time of the audit. | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Not observed during audit. |
| 02.10i | Are the employees washing their hands after break periods? Score NA if this discipline is not observed at the time of the audit. | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Not observed during audit. |
| 02.10j | Are the employees washing their hands after using the toilet facilities? Score NA if this discipline is not observed at the time of the audit. | Yes | 15 | 15 | Yes. |
| 02.10k | Is it evident that corrective action is taken when employees fail to comply with hand washing guidelines? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes, employees that fail to comply are written up. After two warnings they are terminated. |
| 02.11 | Is fresh potable drinking water readily accessible to employees.? If No, go to 2.12. | Yes | 7 | 7 | Yes. |
| 02.11a | Are the water containers maintained in a clean condition? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.11b | Are single use cups provided (unless a drinking fountain is used) made available near the drinking water? | Yes | 7 | 7 | Yes. |
| 02.12 | Are first-aid kits available and is the inventory maintained properly? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.13 | If observed, are all commodities that come in contact with blood destroyed? If this question is answered No, an automatic failure of the audit will occur. | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Not observed during audit. |
| 02.13a | Is there a documented and implemented policy and procedure in place and available for all commodities that come in contact with blood to be destroyed? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes, there is a policy and procedure in place that states all commodities that come in contact with blood will be destroyed. |
| 02.14 | Is garbage disposed of properly in the harvested and non harvested areas? | Yes | 10 | 10 | Yes. |
| 02.15 | Are garbage containers provided in the field for the disposal of food and beverage containers, cups, and paper towels? If No, go to 2.16. | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.15a | Are garbage containers constructed and maintained (e.g. bags, lids) to protect against pre harvest or post harvest contamination of the crop? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |
| 02.16 | Have any potential metal, glass, or plastic contamination issues been controlled? | Yes | 5 | 5 | Yes. |

Harvest Practices

| Question No. | Audit Question | Given Answer | Given Score | Possible Score | Recommendation | Auditor Comments | Audio/ Picture |
|--------------|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--|----------------|
| 03.01 | Is the crop free of any evidence of systematic animal fecal (e.g. flocking geese, escaped cattle) contamination? If this question is answered NO, this question results in an automatic failure. | Yes | 20 | 20 | | Yes, the crop is free from evidence of animal fecal contamination. | |
| 03.02 | Is there evidence of animal activity in the crop that is a potential food safety risk? | No | 20 | 20 | | No. There is no evidence of animal activity in the field that would pose a | |

AuditReport

| | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|----|----|--|---|
| 03.03 | Is the product packed in the final packing unit in the field ? If No, go to 3.04. | Yes | 0 | 0 | | food safety risk. Yes. |
| 03.03a | Is packing material (e.g. cartons, bags, clamshells, sacks, RPCs) intended for carrying product used for that purpose only? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 03.03b | Is packing material free from evidence of pest activity, foreign materials and other signs of hazardous materials? If this question is answered No, an automatic failure of the audit will occur. | Yes | 20 | 20 | | Yes. |
| 03.03c | Is packed product free from evidence of pest activity, foreign materials, hazardous materials and any adulteration issues? If this question is answered No, an automatic failure of the audit will occur. | Yes | 20 | 20 | | Yes, the packed product is free from evidence of pest activity, foreign materials, or any hazardous materials. |
| 03.03d | Is product and packing material free from exposure to the ground and or any handling contamination? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 03.03e | Does the operation inspect packaging prior to use and is packed product inspected after packing; where contamination issues are found is corrective action taken and record? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 03.03f | If packing material is left in the field overnight is it secured and protected? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 03.04 | Are grading and packing tables used? If No, go to 3.05. | Yes | 0 | 0 | This refers to food contact surfaces used to grade, inspect, re-pack, or pack product. | Yes, pushcarts are used that act as packing tables. |
| 03.04a | Does the surface allow for easy sanitation? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 03.04b | Are grading and packing tables subject to a documented cleaning program including stating the frequency of cleaning and cleaning procedures? If No, go to 3.05. | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, the pushcarts are subject to a documented cleaning program. |
| 03.04c | Is an anti-microbial solution (e.g. chlorinated or equivalent) used to sanitize the grading and packing tables after cleaning has occurred? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 03.04d | Are records of grading and packing tables equipment cleaning being maintained? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 03.05 | Are re-useable containers (e.g. buckets, field totes, lugs, bins) used in the harvesting operation? If No, go to 3.06. | No | 0 | 0 | | No. Re-useable containers are not used. |
| 03.05a | Are re-useable containers made of easy to clean materials? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.05. |
| 03.05b | Are re-useable containers subject to a documented cleaning program including stating the frequency of cleaning and cleaning procedures? If No, go to 3.05e. | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.05. |
| 03.05c | Is an anti-microbial solution (e.g. chlorinated or equivalent) used to sanitize the re-useable containers after cleaning has occurred? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.05. |
| 03.05d | | N/A | 0 | 0 | | |

AuditReport

| | | | | | |
|--------|--|------------|---|---|--|
| | Are records of re-useable containers cleaning being maintained? | | | | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.05. |
| 03.05e | Are re-useable containers free from any handling contamination? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.05. |
| 03.06 | Are tools (e.g. knives, clippers, scissors, etc.) used in harvesting? If No, go to 3.07. | No | 0 | 0 | No. Tools are not used during harvesting. |
| 03.06a | Are harvest tools (e.g. knives, coring rings, holsters) being used, made of non corrosive and easy to clean materials (e.g. no wood or fabric parts)? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.06b | Are harvest tools not being taken into break or toilet areas or used for any other purpose other than product harvesting? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.06c | Are harvest tools free from exposure to the ground and or any handling contamination? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.06d | Is there an equipment and utensil (e.g. knives) storage and control procedures when not in use? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.06e | Are harvest tools subject to a documented cleaning program including stating the frequency of cleaning and cleaning procedures? If No, go to 3.06h. | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.06f | Is an anti-microbial solution (e.g. chlorinated or equivalent) used to sanitize the harvesting tools after cleaning has occurred? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.06g | Are records of harvesting tools cleaning being maintained? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.06h | Are harvesting tool dips being maintained properly in terms of anti-microbial solution strength and are records of the solutions checks being maintained? AUDITORS SHOULD REQUIRE A TEST AT THE TIME OF THE AUDIT. | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.06. |
| 03.07 | Is machinery used in the harvesting process? If No, go to 3.08. | No | 0 | 0 | No. Machinery is not used during the harvest process. |
| 03.07a | Are all food contact surfaces on the machinery used in the harvest process constructed of food grade materials or stainless steel? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.07b | Does the packing surface allow for easy sanitation? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.07c | Is the harvesting equipment subject to a documented cleaning program including stating the cleaning frequency and cleaning procedures? If No, go to 3.07f. | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.07d | Is an anti-microbial solution (e.g. chlorinated or equivalent) used to sanitize the harvesting equipment after cleaning has occurred? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.07e | Are records of harvesting equipment cleaning being maintained? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.07f | Is equipment designed and used properly to minimize product contamination (e.g. drip pans utilized, lights protected)? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |

AuditReport

| | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----|---|---|---|
| 03.07g | Are only food grade lubricants used on the critical parts of the harvesting machinery that have the potential to contaminate product? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.07h | Are all glass issues on harvesting machines, in-field trucks, and tractors protected in some manner? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.07i | Are all platforms above product, packaging, or food contact surfaces (e.g. belts) on the harvest machinery, in-field trucks fitted with protection to prevent product contamination? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.07. |
| 03.08 | Is water used directly on product contact(e.g. re-hydration, core in field)? If No, go to 3.09. | No | 0 | 0 | No water is used in the harvesting process. |
| 03.08a | Are microbial tests conducted including Generic E.coli on water used for washing, hydrating, etc. harvested crops (e.g. re-hydration, core in field) ? If No, go to 3.08c. | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.08. |
| 03.08b | Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.08. |
| 03.08c | Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.08. |
| 03.08d | If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.08. |
| 03.08e | Are the anti-microbial parameters clearly documented and correct for the type anti-microbial being used? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.08. |
| 03.08f | Are anti-microbial checks being performed on a routine basis? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.08. |
| 03.08g | Are corrective actions recorded where anti-microbial results are less than the stated minimum criteria? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.08. |
| 03.09 | Is the harvested product "in-field processed" or "In-field semi-processed" (e.g. core in field, top & tail, florets)? If No, go to 4.01. | No | 0 | 0 | No. The harvested product is not processed. |
| 03.09a | Does the process flow, machine layout, employee control, utensil control, etc. ensure that processed products are not contaminated by unprocessed products? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.09. |
| 03.09b | Do all employees that come in contact with the product being harvested wear clean protective outer garments (e.g. hairnets, plastic gloves, sleeves and aprons)? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.09. |
| 03.09c | Do all employees that wear protective outer garments remove and keep them in a clean and secure area during breaks or when using the toilet facilities? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.09. |
| 03.09d | Are all plastic bin liners closed immediately after harvest to avoid contamination of the harvested product? | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 3.09. |

AuditReport

Transportation and Tracking

| Question No. | Audit Question | Given Answer | Given Score | Possible Score | Recommendation | Auditor Comments | Audio/ Picture |
|--------------|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|-------------------|
| 04.01 | Are the vehicles transporting fresh produce from field to facility limited to this function only and maintained in proper condition? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, all transport vehicles only transport produce and are maintained properly. | |
| 04.02 | Is there a system in place to track product from the farm? If No, go to 5.01. | Yes | 20 | 20 | | Yes. | |
| 04.02a | Is harvested product (each individual unit) coded to identify date of harvest? NOTE: This question is targeting finished goods packed in the field. N/A for raw material/bulk product destined for further handling in a packinghouse or processor facility. | No | 0 | 10 | For finished goods packed in the field there should be date coding on each individual unit. This question is Non-applicable for raw material/bulk product destined for further handling in a packinghouse or processor facility. However, if there are no coding details indicated on pallet tags, bins, trip ticket, or other accompanying load documentation then the response would be no. | No. Each unit is not coded for date of harvest. A system is being developed that would code each unit with the date of harvest. | |
| 04.02b | Is harvested product (each individual unit) coded to identify production area where grown (e.g. field/lot/greenhouse)? NOTE: This question is targeting finished goods packed in the field. N/A for raw material/bulk product destined for further handling in a packinghouse or processor facility. | No | 0 | 10 | For finished goods packed in the field there should be either a code on the pack, or a system to trace back from a date code to a product area(s). This question is Non-applicable for raw material/bulk product destined for further handling in a packinghouse or processor facility. However, if there are no coding details indicated on pallet tags, bins, trip ticket, or other accompanying load documentation then the response would be no. | No. Each unit is not coded for the production area. A system is being developed that would code each unit with the production area. | |

On Site Storage

| Question No. | Audit Question | Given Answer | Given Score | Possible Score | Recommendation | Auditor Comments | Audio/ Picture |
|--------------|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------|
| 05.01 | Is there an on site storage for items and/or equipment used in the harvest process (e.g., packing material, cartons, clamshells, re-usable containers, disinfectants, grading/packing tables, RPCs)? If No, go to 6.1. | No | 0 | 0 | | No. There is not any on site storage for harvest items. | |
| 05.01a | Are packaging, containers, and harvesting equipment stored to prevent cross contamination (this includes RPCs, cartons, clamshells, bins, and other harvesting type of containers that are single use or reusable, etc.)? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 5.01. | |
| 05.01b | Is the storage area under a sanitation program? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 5.01. | |
| 05.01c | Is the storage area under a pest control program? | N/A | 0 | 0 | | N/A. Score not affected. Refer to question 5.01. | |







Food Security

| Audit Question | Recommendation | Auditor Comments |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|
|----------------|----------------|------------------|

AuditReport



| Question No. | | Given Answer | Given Score | Possible Score | | Audio/ Picture |
|--------------|---|--------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| 06.01 | Is access to the harvest area and related equipment controlled (unauthorized individuals Not allowed near the equipment)? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes, access to harvest area is controlled by farm supervisors. |
| 06.02 | Are Harvest Crew Forman and members directed to report entry of unauthorized individuals? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 06.03 | Does the company have documented food security policies? | Yes | 3 | 3 | | Yes, the company has documented food security policies. |
| 06.04 | Are materials such as sanitizers or packaging (cartons, film, wraps, etc.) stored in secured areas overnight? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 06.05 | Are materials (e.g. cartons, clamshells, re-usable containers, disinfectants, grading/packing tables, RPCs) when used in the field while harvesting, controlled in a manner that prevents unauthorized tampering (e.g. on a truck near point of harvest)? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 06.06 | Are background checks conducted on critical personnel? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 06.07 | Are all personal materials kept away from the harvest and packaging of the harvesting operation? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 06.08 | Are all personnel required to undergo training on food security issues and are records kept? | Yes | 5 | 5 | | Yes. |
| 06.09 | Are off premises transportation vehicles (trucks, trailers, rail cars, etc) equipped with cell phones, two way radio, GPS or other positioning systems? | Yes | 3 | 3 | | Yes. |

Audit Scoring Summary

| | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|------------|
|  | Inspection | Score | 40 |
| | | Possible Points | 40 |
| | | Percent Score | 100% |
|  | Employee Activities & Sanitary Facilities | Score | 292 |
| | | Possible Points | 292 |
| | | Percent Score | 100% |
|  | Harvest Practices | Score | 120 |
| | | Possible Points | 120 |
| | | Percent Score | 100% |
|  | Transportation and Tracking | Score | 25 |
| | | Possible Points | 45 |
| | | Percent Score | 56% |
|  | On Site Storage | Score | 0 |
| | | Possible Points | 0 |
| | | Percent Score | 0% |
|  | Total | Score | 477 |
| | | Possible Points | 497 |
| | | Percent Score | 96% |
| | | | |

Food Security Scoring Summary

AuditReport

| | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------|
|  | Food Security | Score | 41 |
| | | Possible Points | 41 |
| | | Percent Score | 100% |
|  | Total | Score | 41 |
| | | Possible Points | 41 |
| | | Percent Score | 100% |

Score System For Entire Audit

| Percentage/Category |
|---------------------|
| 95–100% Superior |
| 85–94% Excellent |
| 73–84% Standard |
| 61–72% Marginal |
| <60% Unsatisfactory |